UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,270	12/06/2001	Harold J. Plourde JR.	A-7182	5626
	7590 04/02/200 TLANTA, INC.	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 5030 SUGARLOAF PARKWAY LAWRENCEVILLE, GA 30044			NGUYEN BA, HOANG VU A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/02/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOmail@sciatl.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/010,270	PLOURDE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hoang-Vu A. Nguyen-Ba	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. viely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-47 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-47 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access	vn from consideration. election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the B				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/7/02, 4/24/03, 5/8/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 9, 2008 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 5-47 are pending. Claims 1, 23, 24 and 46 are independent claims.

Response to Amendments

3. Per Applicants' request, Claims 1 and 24 have been amended.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicants' arguments with respect to Claims 1-3 and 5-47 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2623

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

6. Claim 1-3 and 5-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,678,463 to Pierre et al. ("Pierre") in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0140584 by Ellis et al. ("Ellis").

Claim 1

Pierre discloses a system (see at least FIG. 2) for managing the allocation and storage of media content instance files in a hard disk of a storage device coupled to a media client device in a subscriber television system, comprising:

a memory for storing logic (see at least FIG. 2, item 16);

a buffer space in the hard disk for buffering media content instances as buffered media content instance files (see at least FIGs. 4-6, item 90); and

a processor (see at least FIGs. 2-3, item 30) configured with the logic to track the size of permanent media content instance files and the buffered media content instance files to provide indication of available free space (see at least FIG. 7, steps 106, 120, 140; 6:7-7:64).

Pierre does not specifically disclose that the indication of available space is <u>a</u> <u>visual</u> indication of an amount of available free space, such that the indication is independent of the buffer space.

However, in an analogous art, Ellis discloses in FIGs. 94, 97, 101 a visual indication of an amount of available free space and the indication of the available free space in buffer 1 does not require that content of buffer 1 is viewed because the user has tuned to another channel – i.e., the indication is independent of the buffer space in buffer 1.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the technique of providing a visual indication of the amount of available free space in the available buffer(s), as taught in Ellis with the teachings of Pierre because the technique of Ellis would enhance the tracking of the available free space in the storage medium (e.g., permanent and temporary buffers) in Pierre.

Claim 2

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Pierre further discloses wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to provide a user interface, responsive to a user input, wherein the user interface provides the indication of available free space for permanently recording media content instances, wherein the permanently recorded media content instances are configured as the permanently recorded media content instance files (see at least 4:44-50; FIG. 7, step 144).

Claim 3

The rejections of base claim 1 and intervening claim 2 are incorporated. Pierre further discloses wherein the permanently recorded media content instance files can be deleted from the storage device (see at least FIG. 7, step 150).

Claim 4 (previously canceled)

Claim 5

The rejections of base claim 1 and intervening claim 2 are incorporated. Pierre further discloses wherein the permanently recorded media content is from the buffer space (see at least 6:37-7:39).

Claim 6

The rejections of base claim 1 and intervening claim 2 are incorporated. Pierre further discloses wherein the permanently recorded media content is a scheduled recording initially written to non-buffer space (see at least 6:37-7:39).

Claim 7

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Pierre further discloses wherein the buffer space, the available free space, and permanently recorded space are located on the hard disk (see at least 6:37-43).

Claim 8

Pierre further discloses wherein the buffer space and permanently recorded space are allocated from the free space on the hard disk (see at least 6:37-9:32).

Claim 9

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Pierre further discloses wherein the buffer space and permanently recorded space have physical locations on the hard disk (see at least 6:37-8:38).

Claim 10

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Pierre further discloses wherein the buffer space and the available free space is measured in units of time (see at least 6:9-22).

Claim 11

Art Unit: 2623

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Pierre further discloses wherein the buffer space and the available free space is measured in units of hard disk space (see at least FIGs. 4-6).

Claim 12

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Pierre further discloses wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to convert analog broadcast media content instances, received at a communications interface, into digitally compressed media content instances stored in a buffer_(see at least 5:27-30).

Claim 13

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Pierre further discloses wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to buffer an analog signal received at a connector from a consumer electronics device, as a digitally compressed media content instance (see at least 3:61-4:8).

Comment [A1]: same 112,2 as original Claim 12, i.e., buffer analog ... as digitally

Claim 14

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Pierre further discloses wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to buffer digital broadcast media content instances, received at a communications interface, as digitally compressed media content instances (see at least 3:61-4:8).

Claim 15

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Pierre further discloses wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to buffer digital media-on-demand media content instances, received at a communications interface from a remote server, as digitally compressed media content instances (see at least 3:61-4:8; 4:20-29).

Art Unit: 2623

Claim 16

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Pierre further discloses wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to buffer digital media content instances, received at a digital communications port from a local network, as digitally compressed media content instances (see at least 3:61-4:14).

Page 7

Claim 17

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Pierre further discloses wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to buffer digital media content instances, received at a digital communications port from a local device, as digitally compressed media content instances (see at least 3:61-4:14).

Claim 18

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Pierre does not specifically disclose wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to determine the available free space after subtracting buffer space capacity from total disk space. However, this logic is deemed inherent to Pierre because Pierre does disclose the step of determining whether there is sufficient contiguous space in storage device for the entire program (FIG. 7, step 106) and for remainder of the program (FIG. 7, step 120). Without subtracting buffer space capacity from total disk space, the above determining step would not be possible.

Claim 19

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Pierre does not specifically disclose wherein the processor is configured with the logic to reduce the available free space by the

amount of the space used for the permanent media content instance files. However, the reducing the available free space is deemed not only inherent but an unpatentable feature since this step is a direct result of the step of saving a permanent media content instance file. If the size of the new permanent media content instance file is larger than the existing one, then the result will be the reduction of the available free space.

Claim 20

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Pierre further discloses wherein the processor is configured with the logic to increase the available free space by the amount of the space recovered from a deleted permanent media content instance files (see at least FIG. 7, step 116, 118, 128, 150, 148).

Claim 21

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Pierre further discloses wherein the indication of the free space available is configured in time of space available for the permanent media content instance files (see at least 6:9-22).

Claim 22

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Pierre further discloses wherein the free space indication is unaffected by writes to and deletions from the buffer space (see at least 6:4-7:39).

Claim 23

Since Claim 23 is an independent claim that is a combination of Claims 1-22, the respective rejections are thus applied.

Art Unit: 2623

Claim 24

Pierre discloses:

buffering media content instances into buffer space as buffered media content instance files (see at least FIGs. 4-6, items 90);

Page 9

tracking the size of permanent media content instance files and buffered media content instance files (see at least FIG. 7, steps 106, 120, 140; 6:7-7:64); and providing an indication of available free space (see at least FIG. 7, steps 106, 120, 140; 6:7-7:64).

Pierre does not specifically disclose that the indication of available space is a <u>visual</u> indication of an amount of available free space, such that the indication is independent of the buffer space.

However, in an analogous art, Ellis discloses in FIGs. 94, 97, 101 a visual indication of an amount of available free space and the indication of the available free space in buffer 1 does not require that content of buffer 1 is viewed because the user has tuned to another channel – i.e., the indication is independent of the buffer space in buffer 1.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the technique of providing a visual indication of the amount of available free space in the available buffer(s), as taught in Ellis with the teachings of Pierre because the technique of Ellis would enhance the tracking of the available free space in the storage medium (e.g., permanent and temporary buffers) in Pierre.

Claim 25

The rejection of base claim 24 is incorporated. Since Claim 25 recites the same feature of Claim 2, the same rejection is thus applied.

Art Unit: 2623

Claim 26

The rejections of base claim 24 and intervening claim 25 are incorporated. Since Claim 26 recites the same feature of Claim 3, the same rejection is thus applied.

Claim 27

The rejections of base claim 24 and intervening claim 25 are incorporated. Since Claim 27 recites the same feature of Claim 4, the same rejection is thus applied.

Claim 28

The rejections of base claim 24 and intervening claim 25 are incorporated. Since Claim 28 recites the same feature of Claim 5, the same rejection is thus applied.

Claim 29

The rejections of base claim 24 and intervening claim 25 are incorporated. Since Claim 29 recites the same feature of Claim 6, the same rejection is thus applied.

Claim 30

The rejection of base claim 24 is incorporated. Since Claim 30 recites the same feature of Claim 7, the same rejection is thus applied.

Claim 31

The rejection of base claim 24 is incorporated. Since Claim 31 recites the same feature of Claim 8, the same rejection is thus applied.

Claim 32

The rejection of base claim 24 is incorporated. Since Claim 32 recites the same feature of Claim 9, the same rejection is thus applied.

Claim 33

The rejection of base claim 24 is incorporated. Since Claim 33 recites the same feature of Claim 10, the same rejection is thus applied.

Claim 34

The rejection of base claim 24 is incorporated. Since Claim 34 recites the same feature of Claim 11, the same rejection is thus applied.

Claim 35

The rejection of base claim 24 is incorporated. Since Claim 35 recites the same feature of Claim 12, the same rejection is thus applied.

Claim 36

The rejection of base claim 24 is incorporated. Since Claim 36 recites the same feature of Claim 13, the same rejection is thus applied.

Claim 37

The rejection of base claim 24 is incorporated. Since Claim 37 recites the same feature of Claim 14, the same rejection is thus applied.

Claim 38

The rejection of base claim 24 is incorporated. Pierre further discloses buffering digital media-on-demand media content instances, received at a communications interface from a remote server, as digitally compressed media content instances (see at least 4:4-8).

Claim 39

The rejection of base claim 24 is incorporated. Pierre further discloses buffering digital media content instances, received at a digital communications port from a local server, as digitally compressed media content instances (see at least 4:4-8).

Claim 40

The rejection of base claim 24 is incorporated. Pierre further discloses buffering digital media content instances, received at a digital communications port from a local device, as digitally compressed media content instances (see at least 4:4-8).

Claim 41

The rejection of base claim 24 is incorporated. Since Claim 41 recites the same feature of Claim 18, the same rejection is thus applied.

Claim 42

The rejection of base claim 24 is incorporated. Since Claim 42 recites the same feature of Claim 19, the same rejection is thus applied.

Claim 43

The rejection of base claim 24 is incorporated. Since Claim 43 recites the same feature of Claim 20, the same rejection is thus applied.

Claim 44

The rejection of base claim 24 is incorporated. Since Claim 44 recites the same feature of Claim 21, the same rejection is thus applied.

Claim 45

The rejection of base claim 24 is incorporated. Since Claim 45 recites the same feature of Claim 24, the same rejection is thus applied.

Claim 46

Since Claim 46 is an independent claim that is a combination of Claims 24-45, the rejections of these claims are thus applied.

Claim 47

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Pierre further discloses wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to provide an indication that insufficient free space is available for a requested recording. See Claim 1.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang-Vu "Antony" Nguyen-Ba whose telephone number is (571) 272-3701. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

Art Unit: 2623

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached at (571) 272-7353.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2600 Group receptionist (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

/Hoang-Vu Antony Nguyen-Ba/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2623 .March 26, 2008 Page 14

Art Unit: 2623

Page 15